

Lecture 21: Basic Applications of Fourier Analysis (BLR-Test, List-Decoding Hadamard Codes, Smoothing Functions)

BLR Linearity Testing

- “BLR” = Blum, Luby, Rubinfeld
- Problem: Given an oracle access to a function $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$, test whether it is (close to) a linear function

Algorithm (BLR Test):

- Pick random x and y
- Output: “Linear” if $f(x) \cdot f(y) = f(x + y)$; otherwise, output “Not Linear”

- We want to understand the relation between the following two quantities

$$A = \max_{S \subseteq [n]} \left| \widehat{f}(S) \right| \quad B = \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,y} [f(x)f(y)f(x+y)] \right|$$

- We want to show that: $A \approx 1$ if and only if $B \approx 1$
- Let us expand B :

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \left(\sum_{Q \subseteq [n]} \widehat{f}(Q) \chi_Q(x) \right) \times \left(\sum_{R \subseteq [n]} \widehat{f}(R) \chi_R(y) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \widehat{f}(T) \chi_T(x+y) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \sum_{Q,R,T \subseteq [n]} \widehat{f}(Q) \widehat{f}(R) \widehat{f}(T) \chi_Q(x) \chi_R(y) \chi_T(x+y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \sum_{Q,R,T \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(Q)\hat{f}(R)\hat{f}(T)\chi_{Q+T}(x)\chi_{R+T}(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \sum_{Q=R=T \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(Q)\hat{f}(R)\hat{f}(T) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \sum_{Q \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(Q)^3 = \sum_{Q \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(Q)^3
\end{aligned}$$

- So, under the constraint that $\sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 = 1$, we want to show that $A \approx 1$ if and only if $B \approx 1$, where:

$$A = \max_{S \subseteq [n]} |\hat{f}(S)| \qquad B = \left| \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3 \right|$$

Lemma

First Direction: $A \geq B$

- Let $B' := \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3$
- Let $B'_+ = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]: \hat{f}(S) \geq 0} \hat{f}(S)^3$ and $B'_- = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]: \hat{f}(S) < 0} \hat{f}(S)^3$
- Let $C_+ = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]: \hat{f}(S) \geq 0} \hat{f}(S)^2$ and $C_- = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]: \hat{f}(S) < 0} \hat{f}(S)^2$
- Let $A' = \max_{S \subseteq [n]: \hat{f}(S) \geq 0} \hat{f}(S)$
- Note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B'_+ + B'_- = B' \\
 \implies & B'_+ \geq B' \\
 \implies & A' \cdot C_+ \geq B'_+ \geq B' \\
 \implies & A \geq A' \geq B'/C_+ \geq B
 \end{aligned}$$

- Now perform the same analysis with $-\hat{f}(S)$ instead of $\hat{f}(S)$ and get $A \geq -B'$ and, hence, the result follows

Lemma

Other Direction: If $A \geq (1 - \varepsilon)$ implies $B \geq (1 - 4\varepsilon)$, for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1/4$

- Suppose $A' \geq (1 - \varepsilon)$, then $B'_+ \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^3$
- Then $C_- = 1 - C_+ \leq 1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^2 = \varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon)$
- Then $B'_- \geq -[\varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon)]^{3/2}$
- Now, we have $B' = B'_+ + B'_- \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^3 - [\varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon)]^{3/2}$
- We can show that: $B' \geq (1 - 4\varepsilon)$
- If $\min_{S \subseteq [n]: \hat{f}(S) < 0} \hat{f}(S) \leq -(1 - \varepsilon)$, we perform the above analysis with $-\hat{f}(S)$ instead of $f(S)$ and get $B' \leq -(1 - 4\varepsilon)$
- Hence we get the result

Finding S

- Suppose f is close to χ_S , then how do we recover S ?
- Closely related to the problem of “Decoding Hadamard code”

List Decoding of Hadamard Code

- Hadamard Code establishes the following mapping:
 $S \rightarrow H(S) := \chi_S$
- Note that $H(S)$ and $H(T)$, where $T \neq S$, differs in exactly $N/2$ positions
- Hadamard code has distance $N/2$
- Decoding takes as input a function $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ and outputs the nearest χ_S

Lemma

Let $\Delta(f, \chi_S)$ be the distance between f and χ_S . Then $\hat{f}(S) = 1 - 2\delta(f, \chi_S)$, where $\delta(\cdot, \cdot) = \Delta(\cdot, \cdot)/N$.

- If $\delta(f, \chi_S) = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$, then: $\hat{f}(S) = 2\varepsilon$

Unique Decoding

Unique Decoding up to “Error rate $< 1/4$ ”:

- “Error rate $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon < 1/4$ ” is equivalent to “ $\varepsilon > 1/4$ ”
- Then there exists S such that $\widehat{f}(S) = 2\varepsilon > 1/2$
- There cannot exist $T \neq S$ such that $\widehat{f}(T) > 1/2$. Reason: If possible there exists $T \neq S$ such that $\widehat{f}(T) = 2\varepsilon' > 1/2$.

Then, we have:

$$\delta(f, \chi_S) + \delta(f, \chi_T) = 1 - (\varepsilon + \varepsilon') < 1/2$$

But we have:

$$1/2 = \delta(\chi_S, \chi_T) \leq \delta(f, \chi_S) + \delta(f, \chi_T)$$

A Contradiction.

List Decoding up to “Error rate $< 1/2$ ”:

- Suppose “Error rate $\leq \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ ”
- Then $\hat{f}(S) \geq 2\varepsilon$
- Note that:

$$1 = \|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)$$

- There can be at most $1/4\varepsilon^2$ subsets S with $\hat{f}(S)^2 \geq 4\varepsilon^2$

Error Function

- Consider a distribution p over $\{0, 1\}^n$ that sets each bit independently to 1 with probability ε , and sets it to 0 with probability $(1 - \varepsilon)$
- Therefore $p(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)^{n - \text{wt}(x)} \cdot \varepsilon^{\text{wt}(x)}$
- Let $\rho = (1 - 2\varepsilon)$

Lemma

$$N\hat{p}(S) = \rho^{|S|}$$

Proof of Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} p(x) \chi_S(x) &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (1 - \varepsilon)^{n - \text{wt}(x)} \cdot \varepsilon^{\text{wt}(x)} \cdot (-1)^{S \cdot x} \\ &= (1 - \varepsilon)^n \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \right)^{\text{wt}(x)} (-1)^{S \cdot x} \\ &= (1 - \varepsilon)^n \sum_{0 \leq w \leq n} \lambda^w \sum_{0 \leq i \leq w} \binom{|S|}{i} \binom{n - |S|}{w - i} (-1)^i \\ &\quad \text{where } \lambda = \varepsilon / (1 - \varepsilon) \\ &= (1 - \varepsilon)^n \sum_{0 \leq w \leq n} [X^w] (1 - \lambda X)^{|S|} (1 + \lambda X)^{(n - |S|)} \\ &= (1 - \varepsilon)^n \left[(1 - \lambda X)^{|S|} (1 + \lambda X)^{(n - |S|)} \right] \Big|_{X=1} \\ &= (1 - \varepsilon)^n (1 + \lambda)^n \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} \right)^{|S|} = (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}\end{aligned}$$

Noisy Version of a Function

- $\tilde{f}(x)$ is computed by sampling $r \sim \rho$ and then outputting $f(x+r)$
- Let T_ρ be a mapping that maps the function f to \tilde{f}
- Note that:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{r \in \{0,1\}^n} \rho(r) f(x+r) = (\rho * f)(x)$$

- Think: T_ρ is a linear map

Lemma

$$\widehat{\tilde{f}}(S) = \rho^{|S|} \widehat{f}(S)$$

- Proof: $\widehat{\tilde{f}}(S) = N \widehat{\rho}(S) \widehat{f}(S) = \rho^{|S|} \widehat{f}(S)$
- Intuition: T_ρ smoothes f by attenuating the higher Fourier coefficients in f more